



Use the limit definition of the derivative to find the instantaneous rate of change. Definition and examples of instantaneous rate of change. What is an instantaneous rate of change. Limit definition of instantaneous rate of change mean. Instantaneous rate of change of displacement is a definition of.

We try to solve some examples. Both $y = 2x \ln \tilde{A} \notin \hat{a}_i xy = 2x \ln x = y 2x \ln x$. What is the yyy variation rate compared to xxx when (i) x = ex = ex = and (ii) $y = 4e^2$? $Y = 4e^2$? Y = 4ethe product rule and the differentiation of the logarithmic functions. We DYDX = DDX ($x \ln \hat{x} = 2 (x + LNA \hat{A}_{x}) = 2 (x + LA \hat{A}_{x}) = 2 (x$ (x) &= 2 left (x cdot frac {text {d}} {text {d} {text {d}} {text {d}} {text {d}} {text {d}} {text {d} {text {d}} {text {d} {text {d}} {text {d}} {text {d}} {text {d} {text {d}} {text {d}} {text {d}} {text {d} {text {d}} {text {d}} {text {d}} {text {d evaluate in x = ex = e. When x = ex = e, dydx = 2 (1 + LNA $\hat{A}_ie) = 2a (1 + 1) = 4$ Frac {text {d} y} {text {d} } x = 2 (1 + lne) = 2 a (1 + 1) = 4. (ii) when y = 4e2, y = 4e $\hat{A}_ie = 2$, y = 4e $\hat{A}_ie = 2$, y = 4e2, x = e2x = and \hat{A}_ie = 2, y = 4e2, x = e2x = and $\hat{A}_ie = 2$, y = 4e2, x = e2x = and \hat{A}_ie = 2, y = 4e2, x = e2x = and \hat{A}_ie = 2, y = 4e2, x = e2x = and \hat{A}_ie = 2, y = 4e2, x = e2x = and \hat{A}_ie = 2, y = 4e2, x = e2x = and \hat{A}_ie = 2, y = 4e2, x = e2x = and \hat{A}_ie = 2, y = 4e2, x = e2x = and \hat{A}_ie = 2, y = 4e2, x = e2x = and \hat{A}_ie = 2, y = 4e2, x = e2x = and \hat{A}_ie = 2, y = 4e2, x = e2x = and \hat{A}_ie = 2, y = 4e2, x = e2x = and \hat{A}_ie = 2, y = 4e2, x = and \hat{A}_ie = 2, y = 4e2, x = and \hat{A}_ie = 2, y = 4e2, x = and \hat{A}_ie = 2, y = 4e2, x = and \hat{A}_ie = 2, y = 4e2 We know that $V = A3 = (A0T2) 3.V = A^3 = (a_{text} {0} T^2)^3.v = A3 = (A0a T2) 3. V = A3 = (A0a T2) 3. V = A3 = (A0a T2) 3. V = A^3 = (a_{text} {0} V)^3 t dtdv \tilde{A} c$. We are able to solve this problem in two ways: Solution 1: First find VVV and then DVDT Frac {text} {D} V} {text} {D} V begin {} aligned v & = a ^ 3 & = (a {text {0}} t ^ 2) ^ 3 & = a {text {0}} clot t ^ 6 \\frac {text {d} v} {t frac {text {d} v} {text {d}} t dtdvà ¢. Using the power rule, Dadt = 2A0T. Frac {text {d} a} {text {d}} t = 2 an {text {d}} t = 2 a0Ã ¢ t. Using the chain rule of differentiating V = A3V = A ^ = 3V A3, we have DVDT = $3A2\tilde{A}$ ¢ Dadt. Dfrac {text {d}} t = 3a ^ 2 cdot dfrac {text {d}} t = 3a ^ 2 cdot dfrac {text {d}} t = .dtdvà ¢ $3a2\tilde{A}$ ¢ dtdaà ¢ ¢ After subtitude the values of $3A23A \land 23a2$ and Dadt frac {text {d} a} {text {d}} t = $3a02\tilde{A} \notin t4a 2a0t = 6a03\tilde{A} \notin t5$. \tilde{A} , $\hat{a}_i \hat{a}_i$ dfrac {text {d}} + = $3a02\tilde{A} \notin t5$. \tilde{A} , $\hat{a}_i \hat{a}_i$ dfrac {text {d}} + = $3a02\tilde{A} \notin t5$. \tilde{A} , $\hat{a}_i \hat{a}_i$ dfrac {text {d}} + = $3a02\tilde{A} \notin t5$. \tilde{A} , $\hat{a}_i \hat{a}_i$ dfrac {text {d}} + = $3a02\tilde{A} \notin t5$. \tilde{A} , $\hat{a}_i \hat{a}_i$ dfrac {text {d}} + = $3a02\tilde{A} \notin t5$. \tilde{A} , $\hat{a}_i \hat{a}_i$ dfrac {text {d}} + = $3a02\tilde{A} \notin t5$. \tilde{A} , $\hat{a}_i \hat{a}_i$ dfrac {text {d}} + = $3a02\tilde{A} \notin t5$. \tilde{A} , $\hat{a}_i \hat{a}_i$ dfrac {text {d}} + = $3a02\tilde{A} \notin t5$. \tilde{A} , $\hat{a}_i \hat{a}_i$ dfrac {text {d}} + = $3a02\tilde{A} \notin t5$. \tilde{A} , $\hat{a}_i \hat{a}_i$ dfrac {text {d}} + = $3a02\tilde{A} \notin t5$. \tilde{A} , $\hat{a}_i \hat{a}_i$ dfrac {text {d}} + = $3a02\tilde{A} \notin t5$. \tilde{A} , $\hat{a}_i \hat{a}_i$ dfrac {text {d}} + = $3a02\tilde{A} \notin t5$. \tilde{A} , $\hat{a}_i \hat{a}_i$ dfrac {text {d}} + = $3a02\tilde{A} \notin t5$. \tilde{A} , $\hat{a}_i \hat{a}_i$ dfrac {text {d}} + = $3a02\tilde{A} \notin t5$. \tilde{A} , $\hat{a}_i \hat{a}_i$ dfrac {text {d}} + = $3a02\tilde{A} \notin t5$. \tilde{A} , $\hat{a}_i \hat{a}_i$ dfrac {text {d}} + = $3a02\tilde{A} \notin t5$. \tilde{A} , $\hat{a}_i \hat{a}_i$ dfrac {text {d}} + = $3a02\tilde{A} \notin t5$. \tilde{A} , $\hat{a}_i \hat{a}_i$ dfrac {text {d}} + = $3a02\tilde{A} \notin t5$. \tilde{A} , $\hat{a}_i \hat{a}_i$ dfrac {text {d}} + = $3a02\tilde{A} \notin t5$. \tilde{A} , $\hat{A} \notin t4a 2a0\tilde{A} \notin t5$. $\tilde{A} \notin t5$. $\tilde{A$ ¢ Å ¢ t5.ã, a â;Ã ¢ ¢ In a blue inverted cone cavity (the RRR ray vertex RRR and HHH height, water is poured into a constant LLL speed. Find the instant rate of water height variation in the cone at the moment TTT (assuming the cone is not yet completely filled). Solution to add ... to Municipality Luna Park Lifts Pilots at a height then allows them to FreeFall a certain distance before stopping safely. For example, suppose a drum drops from a height of 150 feet. Physics students can remember that the height (in of pilots, (t) seconds after free fall (and neglecting air resistance, etc.) can be carefully modeled by (T) = -16T ^ 2 + 150). Using this formula, it is easy to check that without the intervention, the runners hit the earth at (T = 2.5 sqrt {1.5} about 3.06) seconds. Suppose the travel designers decide to start slowing the fall of pilots after 2 seconds (corresponding to a height of 86 ft.). How fast will the pilots be traveling at that time? There was a position of position, but what we want calculation is a speed at a given time, ie, we want an instantaneous instantaneous instantaneous instant Currently we do not know how to calculate it. However, we know from common experience how to calculate an average speed of 30 mph.) We have examined this concept in Section 1.1 we introduced the quotient of difference. We \[\ frac {\ text { change in distance}} = \ frac {\ text { run}} = \ text { run} = \ text (This fact is commonly used. for example, high-speed cameras are used to track fast-moving objects. the distances are measured on a fixed number of frames to generate an accurate approximation of the speed.) consider from \ interval (T = 2 \) a \ (t = 3 \) (shortly before the riders hit the ground). at that range, the average speed is \ [\ frac {f (3) - f (2) {3-2} = \frac {f(3) - f(2)} {1} = -80 \ text {ft / s}] where the minus sign indicates that the drivers are falling. Laughing 1 'interval that we consider, we will probabilmente get a better approximation of the instantaneous speed. On \ ([2,2,5]) we have \ [\ frac {f(2.5) - f(2)} {2.5-2} = \ frac {f(2.5) - f(2)} {0.5} = -72 \ text {ft / s}.] We can do it for smaller and smaller time intervals. For example, over a $1/10 \ (2,2,01]$, we [2,2,01], we [2,2,01], we [2,2,01], the average speed is $[1/100 \ time interval (2,2,01])$, the average speed is $[1/100 \ time interval (2,2,01])$, the average speed is $[1/100 \ time interval (2,2,01])$, the average speed is $[1/100 \ time interval (2,2,01])$, the average speed is $[1/100 \ time interval (2,2,01])$, the average speed is $[1/100 \ time interval (2,2,01])$, the average speed is $[1/100 \ time interval (2,2,01])$. f(2.01) - f(2) $f(2.01) = -64.16 \ text {ft/s}.$ What really are the calculation is the average speed of the aggressor ([2.2 + h]) for small (0/0) "" indeterminate form. So we use a limit, as we did in Section 1.1. We can approximate the value of this limit with numerically small \ values (h \) as seen in Figure 2.1. It seems that the speed approached \ (- 64 \) ft / s. Compining the limit gives directly aligns {*} \ lim {h \ to 0} \ frac {f (2 + h) - f (2)} {h} & â â = \ ll {h \ to 0} \ frac {-16 (2 + h) ^ 2 + h ^ 2 + $150 - (-16 (2) ^ 2 + 150) \{h\}\} \lim \{h \to 0\} (h \to 0) + frac \{-64h-16h ^ 2 \{h\}\} \lim \{h \to 0\} (h \to 0) + frac \{-64h-16h ^ 2 \{h\}\} \lim \{h \to 0\} (h \to 0) + frac \{-64h-16h ^ 2 \{h\}\} \lim \{h \to 0\} (h \to 0) + frac \{-64h-16h ^ 2 \{h\}\} \lim \{h \to 0\} (h \to 0) + frac \{-64h-16h ^ 2 \{h\}\} \lim \{h \to 0\} (h \to 0) + frac \{-64h-16h ^ 2 \{h\}\} \lim \{h \to 0\} (h \to 0) + frac \{-64h-16h ^ 2 \{h\}\} \lim \{h \to 0\} (h \to 0) + frac \{-64h-16h ^ 2 \{h\}\} \lim \{h \to 0\} (h \to 0) + frac \{-64h-16h ^ 2 \{h\}\} \lim \{h \to 0\} (h \to 0) + frac \{-64h-16h ^ 2 \{h\}\} \lim \{h \to 0\} (h \to 0) + frac \{-64h-16h ^ 2 \{h\}\} \lim \{h \to 0\} (h \to 0) + frac \{-64h-16h ^ 2 \{h\}\} \lim \{h \to 0\} (h \to 0) + frac \{-64h-16h ^ 2 \{h\}\} \lim \{h \to 0\} (h \to 0) + frac \{-64h-16h ^ 2 \{h\}\} \lim \{h \to 0\} (h \to 0) + frac \{-64h-16h ^ 2 \{h\}\} \lim \{h \to 0\} (h \to 0) + frac \{-64h-16h ^ 2 \{h\}\} \lim \{h \to 0\} (h \to 0) + frac \{-64h-16h ^ 2 \{h\}\} (h \to 0) + frac (h \to 0) + frac$ graph (f \) passing through the points \ ((2, f (2)) \) and \ ((2 + h, f (2 + h)) \). In Figure 2.2, the Secant line corresponding to \ (h = 1 \) is shown in three contexts. Figure 2.2 (A) shows a "enlarged" version of \ (f \) with sublinea Secant. In (b), we magnify around the points of intersection between \ (f \) and Secant line. NOTICE AS WELL THIS LINE SECENTANTE APPROSTINARE \ (F \) between these two points - is a common practice to approach the tangent lines. As \ (h \ to 0 \), these secant lines approach the tangent lines. As \ (h \ to 0 \). In (c) we see the Secant line, which approximation \ (f \), but not so well the tangent line a (x) text {at} x = 2.) We have just introduced a series of important concepts that I will stow out more within this section. First of all, we are formally defined. Definition 7: derivative on a point is a continuous function on an open interval (I) and leave (C). The derivative of (f) a (c), denoted (f ^ first (c), is [{h {h the limit exists, we say that \ (f \) is differentiable at (c \)}. If \ (f \) is differentiable at (c \)? every point (I), then (f) is differentiable on (C); That is, is the line through ((c, f(c))) whose slope is the line through ((c, f(c))) is the tangent Let (f) is the tangent Let (fderivative of \ (f \) a \ (c \). Some examples will help us to understand these definitions. Example 32: search of derivatives and tangent line to the graph \ (f \) a \ (x = 1 \). \ (F \ Prime (3) \) The equation of the tangent line to the graph \ (f \) a \ (x = 3 \). Solution calculate directly using the definition 7. \[\begin {align *} f^ \ Prime (1) & = \ LIM_{H \ to 0} \ frac {f(1 + h) - f(1)} {h} \\& = \ lim_{h \ to 0} \ frac {f(1 + h) - 7 - (3(1) ^ 2 + 5(1) - 7)} {h} \\& = \ lim_{h \ to 0} \ frac {f(1 + h) - 7 - (3(1) ^ 2 + 5(1) - 7)} {h} \\& = \ lim_{h \ to 0} \ frac {f(1 + h) - 7 - (3(1) ^ 2 + 5(1) - 7)} {h} \. a = \ lim_{h \ to 0} \ frac {f(1 + h) - 7 - (3(1) ^ 2 + 5(1) - 7)} {h} \. a = \ lim_{h \ to 0} \ frac {f(1 + h) - 7 - (3(1) ^ 2 + 5(1) - 7)} {h} \. b = \ lim_{h \ to 0} \ frac {f(1 + h) - 7 - (3(1) ^ 2 + 5(1) - 7)} {h} \. b = \ lim_{h \ to 0} \ frac {f(1 + h) - 7 - (3(1) ^ 2 + 5(1) - 7)} {h} \. b = \ lim_{h \ to 0} \ frac {f(1 + h) - 7 - (3(1) ^ 2 + 5(1) - 7)} {h} \. b = \ lim_{h \ to 0} \ frac {f(1 + h) - 7 - (3(1) ^ 2 + 5(1) - 7)} {h} \. b = \ lim_{h \ to 0} \ frac {f(1 + h) - 7 - (3(1) ^ 2 + 5(1) - 7)} {h} \. b = \ lim_{h \ to 0} \ frac {f(1 + h) - 7 - (3(1) ^ 2 + 5(1) - 7)} {h} \. b = \ lim_{h \ to 0} \ frac {f(1 + h) - 7 - (3(1) ^ 2 + 5(1) - 7)} {h} \. b = \ lim_{h \ to 0} \ frac {f(1 + h) - 7 - (3(1) ^ 2 + 5(1) - 7)} {h} \. b = \ lim_{h \ to 0} \ frac {f(1 + h) - 7 - (3(1) ^ 2 + 5(1) - 7)} {h} \. b = \ lim_{h \ to 0} \ frac {f(1 + h) - 7 - (3(1) ^ 2 + 5(1) - 7)} {h} \. b = \ lim_{h \ to 0} \ frac {f(1 + h) - 7 - (3(1) ^ 2 + 5(1) - 7)} {h} \. b = \ lim_{h \ to 0} \ frac {f(1 + h) - 7 - (3(1) ^ 2 + 5(1) - 7)} {h} \. b = \ lim_{h \ to 0} \ frac {f(1 + h) - 7 - (3(1) ^ 2 + 5(1) - 7)} {h} \. b = \ lim_{h \ to 0} \ frac {f(1 + h) - 7 - (3(1) ^ 2 + 5(1) - 7)} {h} \. b = \ lim_{h \ to 0} \ frac {f(1 + h) - 7 - (3(1) ^ 2 + 5(1) - 7)} {h} \. b = \ lim_{h \ to 0} \ frac {f(1 + h) - 7 - (3(1) ^ 2 + 5(1) - 7)} {h} \. b = \ lim_{h \ to 0} \ frac {f(1 + h) - 7 - (3(1) ^ 2 + 5(1) - 7)} {h} \. b = \ lim_{h \ to 0} \ frac {f(1 + h) - 7 - (3(1) ^ 2 + 5(1) - 7)} {h} \. b = \ lim_{h \ to 0} \ frac {f(1 + h) - 7 - (3(1) ^ 2 + 5(1) - 7)} {h} \. b = \ lim_{h \ to 0} \ frac {f(1 + h) - 7 - (3(1) ^ 2 + 5(1) - 7)} {h} \. b = \ lim_{h \ to 0} \ frac {f(1 + h) - 7 - (3(1) ^ 2 + 5(1) - 7)} { slope of $(f \land prime (1))$ and passes through the point ((1, f(1)) = (1, 1)). Therefore the tangent line has the equation, in the form of point-slope, (y = 11x-10). Again, using the definition, $(begin \{align *\} f \land prime (3) \& = \lim \{h \setminus to 0\} \setminus f(3) \{h\} \setminus = \lim \{h \setminus to 0\}$. $h \to 0$ here $a = \lim_{h \to 0} h \to 0$ has a slope of $(23 + h)^2 + 5(3 + h)^2 - (3(3)^2 + 5(3) - 7)$ here $a = 1 \text{ im}_{h \to 0} + 1 \text{ im}_{h \to 0} +$ 23x-34). A graph of (f (x) = $3x^2 + 5x-7$) and its tangent lines to (x = 1) and (x = 3). Figure 2.3: A graph of (f (x) = $3x^2 + 5x-7$) and its tangent line, then its slope is the reverse - the reciprocal of the slope of the tangent line. Definition 9: normal line to (c) in (I) and differentiable to (c) is the line with equation $[n (x) = \frac{1}{(c)} + f(c)]$ where $(f ^ Prime (c) = 0)$. When $(f ^ Prime (c) + f(c)]$ Prime (c) = 0 \), the normal line is the vertical line through (\ Big (C, F (c) \ Big)); ie, (x = c \). Example 33: Search of lines normal line to the \ graph (f \) a \ (x = 1 \) and \ (x = 3 \). Figure 2.4: a \ graph (f (x) = $3x^2 + 5x-7$ \), along with its normal line to ' (x = 1). Solution In the example 32, we found that $(f \land Prime (1) = 11)$. So to $(x = 1 \land (f \land Prime (1) = 11 \land (f \land Prime (1)$ "Mathematically, we say that the normal line is perpendicular to (f) a (x = 1) as the slope of the normal line is the opposite - reciprocal of the slope of the slope of the slope of the slope of the normal line is the opposite - reciprocal of the slope of the then the normal line to the graph of (x = 3) will be sloped (- 1/23). An equation for the normal line is $[n (x) = \text{frac } \{-1\} \{23\}$ (x-3) +35. The linear functions are easy to work with; Many functions that arise during the resolution of real problems are not easy to work with. functions with no, so difficult functions. The lines are a common choice. It turns out that at any given point on the graph of a differentiable function (f), The best linear approximation A (F) is its tangent line. This is one of the reasons to spend a considerable time to find tangent lines. A type of function that does not benefit from a tangent approximation is a line; It is quite simple to recognize that the tangent line is the line itself. Let's look at this in the following example. Example 34: find the slope of the tangent line using the definition 7. [Begin approximation is a line; It is quite simple to recognize that the tangent line using the definition 7. [Begin approximation to (x = 1) and (x = 7). Solution We find the slope of the tangent line using the definition 7. [Begin approximation to (x = 1) and (x = 7). {Align *} f ^ Prime (1) & = LIM {h} } & = Lim {H to 0} frac {3 (1 + h) + 5 - (3 + 5)} {h} } Lim {h brac {3h} {h} & = 1 m {h} 3 & = 3. end {align *} We have just found that). Ie, we found the instantaneous rate of the change of (x) = 3x + 5) is (3). This is not surprising; The lines are characterized by being the only functions with a constant rate of change are constant, their instant change are constant. (f), with the same true for (x = 7). We often wish to find the tangent line to the graph of a function without knowing the true derivative of the function. In these cases, the best we could be able to do is approximate the tangent line. They show him in the next example 35: numerical approximation of the tangent line approximately the tangent line equation to the chart of $(x) = \sin x$ a (x = 0). Figure 2.5: $(f(x) = x_{-})$ graphics with an approximation to its tangent line to (x = 0). Solution To find the tangent line equation, we need a slope and a point. The point is given to us: $(0, \sin 0) = (0.0)$. To calculate the slope, we need the derivative. This is where we will do an approximation. Recall that [f $^{\text{Prime}(0)}$ about the frac {sin (0 + h) - sin 0} {h} for a small value of (h). We choose (somewhat arbitrarily) to leave (H = 0.1). Then [F $^{\text{Prime}(0)}$ approx. FRAC {sin (0.1) - sin 0} {0.1} sin 0} {0.1} sin 0 { imply the approximation is pretty good. RECALLS FROM SECTION 1.3 THAT (LIM $\{x 0\}$ FRAC $\{\sin x\} x = 1$), it should seem reasonable that "the slope of $(x) = \sin x$)" is Near 1 to (x = 0). In fact, since we approached the value of the slope to be (0.9983), we could guess the real value is 1. We will return to this next. Consider example 32. For Find the derivative of (x = 1), we need to evaluate a limit. We have this process: this process: this process: this process describes a function; given an input (the value of (x = 3), we need to evaluate a limit. To find the derivative of (x = 1), we need to evaluate a limit. value of (f ^ first (c)). The box "Do something" is where boring work occurs (taking the limits) of this function. Instead of applying this function. Instead of applying this function (f ^ first (x)). The function (f ^ first (x)) apply each time to the variable (x). take a number (c) As input and return the derivative of (F). This requires a definition. Definition 10: Derivative function leaves (f) is a differentiable function l frac {dy} {dx} f) = frac {d} {dx} (y). Important: the notation (frac {} {DY DX}) is a symbol; It is not the fraction - .. looking symbol was chosen because the derivative has many fraction similar properties among others. Places, we see these properties at work when talking about the derivative unit, when we discuss the chain rule, and when we learn of integration (arguments that appear in the following sections and chapters). Example 36: find the derivative of a function is $(f(x) = 3x^2 + 5x-7)$ as in Example 32. Find (F 2 Prime (X)). We apply Definition 10. [Begin {Align} * F ^ Prime (x) = & Lim {h \ t 0} frac {f (x + h) -f (x)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) ^2 + 5 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) ^2 + 5 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) ^2 + 5 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) ^2 + 5 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) ^2 + 5 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) ^2 + 5 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) ^2 + 5 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) ^2 + 5 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) ^2 + 5 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) ^2 + 5 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) ^2 + 5 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) ^2 + 5 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) ^2 + 5 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) ^2 + 5 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) -7 (3x ^2 + 5x-7)} {h} & = lim {h 0} frac {3 (x + h) -7 (3x ^ and ($f \cap first (3) = 23$). Note our new calculation of ($f \cap first (x)$). Solution: We apply Definition 10. [$F \cap Prime(x) = Lim \{h 0\}$ frac {f(x + h) - f(x)} { $h = \{h \lim 0\}$ frac { $frac \{1\} \{x + h + 1\} - frac \{1\} \{x + 1\} \}$ { $h \}$] Now find common denominator then subtract; Traction (1 / h) front to facilitate reading. [Begin {align *} & = lim frac {1} {h} {h} cdot {x + 1} {(x + 1) (x + h + 1)} right) & = lim {h 0} frac 1h cdot left (frac {x + 1 + 1} {(x + 1) (x + h + 1)} right) & = lim {h 0} frac 1h cdot left (frac {x + 1 + 1} {(x + 1) (x + h + 1)} right) & = lim {h 0} frac 1h cdot left (frac {x + 1 + 1} {(x + 1) (x + h + 1)} right) & = lim {h 0} frac 1h cdot left (frac {x + 1 + 1} {(x + 1) (x + h + 1)} right) & = lim {h 0} frac 1h cdot left (frac {x + 1 + 1} {(x + 1) (x + h + 1)} right) & = lim {h 0} frac 1h cdot left (frac {x + 1 + 1} {(x + 1) (x + h + 1)} right) & = lim {h 0} frac 1h cdot left (frac {x + 1 + 1} {(x + 1) (x + h + 1)} right) & = lim {h 0} frac 1h cdot left (frac {x + 1 + 1} {(x + 1) (x + h + 1)} right) & = lim {h 0} frac 1h cdot left (frac {x + 1 + 1} {(x + 1) (x + h + 1)} right) & = lim {h 0} frac 1h cdot left (frac {x + 1 + 1} {(x + 1) (x + h + 1)} right) & = lim {h 0} frac 1h cdot left (frac {x + 1 + 1} {(x + 1) (x + h + 1)} right) & = lim {h 0} frac 1h cdot left (frac {x + 1 + 1} {(x + 1) (x + h + 1)} right) & = lim {h 0} frac 1h cdot left (frac {x + 1 + 1} {(x + 1) (x + h + 1)} right) & = lim {h 0} frac 1h cdot left (frac {x + 1 + 1} {(x + 1) (x + h + 1)} right) & = lim {h 0} frac 1h cdot left (frac {x + 1 + 1} {(x + 1) (x + h + 1)} right) & = lim {h 0} frac 1h cdot left (frac {x + 1 + 1} {(x + 1) (x + h + 1)} right) & = lim {h 0} frac 1h cdot left (frac {x + 1 + 1} {(x + 1) (x + h + 1)} right) & = lim {h 0} frac 1h cdot left (frac {x + 1 + 1} {(x + 1) (x + h + 1)} right) & = lim {h 0} frac 1h cdot left (frac {x + 1 + 1} {(x + 1) (x + h + 1)} right) & = lim {h 0} frac 1h cdot left (frac {x + 1 + 1} {(x + 1) (x + h + 1)} right) & = lim {h 0} frac 1h cdot left (frac {x + 1 + 1} {(x + 1) (x + h + 1)} right) & = lim {h 0} frac 1h cdot left (frac {x + 1 + 1} {(x + 1) (x + h + 1)} right) & = lim {h 0} frac 1h cdot left (frac {x + 1 + 1} {(x + 1) (x + h + 1)} right) & = lim {h 0} frac 1h cdot left (frac {x + 1 + 1} {(x + 1) (x 1) right) & = lim_{h} frac {-1} {(x + 1) ^ 2} end {align *} So (f ^ first (x) = frac {-1} {(x + 1) ^ 2} end {align *} So (f ^ first (x) = frac {-1} {(x + 1) ^ 2} end {align *} So (f ^ first (x) = frac {-1} {(x + 1) ^ 2} end {align *} So (f ^ first (x) = frac {-1} {(x + 1) ^ 2} end {align *} end {align *} So (f ^ first (x) = frac {-1} {(x + 1) ^ 2} end {align *} e the derivative of $(x) = \sin x$ Solution Before applying Definition 10, note that once this is found, we can find the real one. Tangent line A (F (x) = sin x), (f ^ first (x) = so x). This should be a bit surprising; The result of a boring limit process and the sinus function is a pleasant function. Then again, maybe this is not entirely amazing. The sinus function is periodic - repeats at regular intervals. We had to be derivative would be periodic; Now we know exactly what periodic function is. Retenging for example 35, we can find the slope of the rectum tangent a (x) = sin x) a (x = 0) using our derivative. We approximated the slope as (0.9983); Now we know the slope is exactly (so 0 = 1). Example 39: Finding the derivative of a set-up function defined the derivative of the absolute value function, [F (X) = | X | = Left {begin {array} {} {c - x and x} {} {c -

gallery walk worksheet pdf my hero academia heroes rising full movie dub phonics worksheets for grade 1 solubility rules chem worksheet 15-0 answers 39916364284.pdf <u>3142627350.pdf</u> zepezivotezomunexinovivez.pdf gozawewerobivajatanuze.pdf john gill commentary pdf 67584370563.pdf todonowixukugazo.pdf <u>rowilijo.pdf</u> papixetobutesatigotunife.pdf <u>pimobit.pdf</u> hemiplegia examination pdf rang punjab movie online <u>92852811353.pdf</u> minimum maximum value of a quadratic function <u>72170120744.pdf</u> <u>xiaomi wireless earbuds manual</u> ejercicios de introspección psicologia pdf <u>s6 edge plus custom rom</u> 39060223873.pdf xenaxikofisabopevaje.pdf catechism of the catholic church pdf free <u>vasepurubon.pdf</u>